

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/681,408	03/30/2001	Anthony G. Casciano	17243-00039	3200
23465	7590 02/04/2003			
JOHN S. BEULICK C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			EXAMINER	
			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
SUITE 2600 ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<del></del>	: <b>\</b>		3624	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/681,408	CASCIANO, ANTHONY G.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit /					
	Alain L. Bashore	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		ith the correspondence ad	ldress				
Period for Reply			•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a req If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timel  NTHS from the mailing date of this c  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	November 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	· •	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	i Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	_						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 November 2002</u> is/a		-	r.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	* *					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.) (1) (0					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).	•				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/681,408 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Inventorship

- 1. The indication of inventorship correction in the previous office action is hereby withdrawn.
- 2. Upon reexamination of the request to correct the inventorship of this non-provisional application under 37 CFR 1.48(a) is deficient because: It lacks the written consent of any assignee of one of the originally named inventors.

## **Drawings**

3. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 11-27-02. These drawings are acceptable.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.121:
  - Claims 28-36, 45-53, drawn to method and apparatus for assessing profitability of a portfolio of accounts classified in class 705, subclass 36.
  - II. Claims 1-27, 37-44, 54-58 drawn to method and apparatus for evaluating a deal utilizing workload drivers classified in class 705, subclass 36.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,408 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because there is not claimed workload drivers. The subcombination has separate utility such as evaluating financial activity other than a portfolio of accounts over the life of the accounts for a customer.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Mr. Rasche on 1-30-03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 4

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Alternate Fridays Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113.

Alain L. Bashore January 30, 2003

VINCENT MELEN
SUPERVISORY PATERIES OF TECHNOLOGY CENTER &

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600